

Preprint SSU-HEP-01/02
Samara State University

NUCLEUS POLARIZABILITY CONTRIBUTION TO THE HYDROGEN-DEUTERIUM ISOTOPE SHIFT

R.N.FAUSTOV¹

*Scientific Council for Cybernetics RAS, Vavilov 40
Moscow, 117333, Russian Federation*

A.P.MARTYNENKO²

*Samara State University, Department of Theoretical Physics, Pavlov 1
Samara, 443011, Russian Federation*

Abstract

The correction to the hydrogen-deuterium isotope shift due to the proton and deuteron polarizability is evaluated on the basis of modern experimental data on the structure functions of inelastic lepton-nucleus scattering. The numerical value of this contribution is equal 63 ± 12 Hz.

keywords: Hydrogen atom, Lamb shift, Nucleus Polarizability

¹E-mail: faustov@theory.npi.msu.su

²E-mail: mart@info.ssu.samara.ru

The study of the hydrogen atom energy levels, which is carried out during many years, open the possibility for testing Quantum Electrodynamics with unprecedented high accuracy [1]. As the simplest stable atom it has essential experimental advantage in the comparison with the other hydrogenic atoms. One of the remarkable recent experiments is the measurement of the isotope shift in atomic hydrogen [2]. The value of the difference of the mean square charge radii of the proton and deuteron was obtained from this experiment. The measurement [2] of the hydrogen-deuterium isotope shift in the 1S-2S level splitting

$$\Delta E_{H-D}(1S - 2S) = 670\,994\,334.64(15) \text{ kHz}. \quad (1)$$

The experimental accuracy in this case is too high that there is need to take into account the effects of the strong interaction for succesful comparison of the theory and experiment and extraction the difference of the sizes of proton and deuteron. The influence of strong interaction on the structure of the hydrogen-like atoms energy levels is manifested in some effects: the hadronic vacuum polarization, the nucleus structure corrections, the nucleus polarizability corrections [3, 4]. The electron polarizes the proton or the deuteron and produces nuclear polarizability contribution to the isotope shift (1). Nuclear polarizability corrections in ΔE_{H-D} were studied in [5, 6], where the spin independent Compton forward scattering amplitude was expressed through the electric polarizability α and magnetic polarizability β . In this case the nuclear polarizability contribution was calculated in the logarithmic approximation and the term, connected with the neutron magnetic polarizability was omitted due to the absence of the reliable experimental data on β_n . At the same time it is known that the γ -deuteron Compton scattering probes the structure of the deuteron and provides necessary information that may allow the extraction of neutron properties, such as neutron electric and magnetic polarizabilities [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The higher-order precision approach to the nucleus polarizability calculation was suggested in [3]. This method is based on the using of the experimental data about inelastic lepton-nucleus scattering structure functions. The imaginary part of the forward Compton scattering amplitude of the virtual photon is determined by the tensor

$$W^{\mu\nu}(p, q) = F_1(x, Q^2) \left(-g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{q^\mu q^\nu}{q^2} \right) + \frac{F_2(x, Q^2)}{(p \cdot q)} \left(p^\mu - \frac{p \cdot q}{q^2} q^\mu \right) \left(p^\nu - \frac{p \cdot q}{q^2} q^\nu \right), \quad (2)$$

where $q^2 = -Q^2$ is the square of the four momentum transfer, $x = Q^2/2pq$ is the Bjorken scaling variable. In the nucleus rest frame $p \cdot q = m_2\nu$, m_2 is the nucleus mass. The invariant mass squared of the electroproduced hadronic system $W^2 = m_2^2 + 2m_2\nu - Q^2$. The tensor $W^{\mu\nu}$ determines the nucleus polarizability contribution to the Lamb shift in the hydrogenic atom, which can be written in the form [3, 12]:

$$\Delta E_{pol}^{Ls} = -\frac{16\mu^3(Z\alpha)^5 m_1}{\pi^2 n^3} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} \int_0^\pi d\phi \int_{\nu_0}^\infty dy \frac{\sin^2 \phi}{(k^2 + 4m_1^2 \cos^2 \phi)(y^2 + k^2 \cos^2 \phi)} \times \quad (3)$$

$$[(1 + 2 \cos^2 \phi) \frac{(1 + k^2/y^2) \cos^2 \phi}{1 + R(y, k^2)} + \sin^2 \phi] F_2(y, k^2) + \frac{2\mu^3 \alpha^5}{\pi n^3 m_1 m_2} \int_0^\infty h(k^2) \beta(k^2) k dk,$$

where $R = \sigma_L/\sigma_T$ is the ratio of the longitudinally to transversely polarized virtual photon absorption cross sections, ν_0 is the threshold value of the transfer energy ν for production of π -mesons,

$$h(k^2) = 1 + \left(1 - \frac{k^2}{2m_1^2}\right) \left(\sqrt{\frac{4m_1^2}{k^2} + 1} - 1\right). \quad (4)$$

The second part of the eq. (3) is connected with the subtraction term in the dispersion relation for the Compton scattering amplitude. The dipole parameterization for it was proposed in [12]:

$$\beta(k^2) = \beta \cdot G(k^2), \quad G(k^2) = \frac{1}{(1 + k^2/0.71)^2}, \quad (5)$$

where β is the nucleus magnetic polarizability. It is necessary to emphasize that the expression (3) is valid both for the hydrogen and deuterium, which has the spin 1 nucleus. In the region $Q^2 > 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ there is 6-parameter model for the quantity $R(x, Q^2)$ [13], which was used in the hydrogen Lamb shift calculation [3]. But the most important contribution to (3) comes from the resonance region with $Q^2 \approx 0$. There are predictions by several models that $R \sim (Q^2)^{c_1}$ at small Q^2 [14]. So, we considered $R \approx 0$ in this region. All experimental investigations show also that $R_p \approx R_d$ with high precision [8].

The calculation of the proton polarizability contribution to the Lamb shift of the hydrogen atom was carried out in [3] by means of (3). The deuteron structure function F_2^d was derived on the basis of numerous experimental data on deep inelastic scattering. The complete parameterization of F_2^d including the resonance region was obtained in the form [7]:

$$F_2^d = [1 - G^2(Q^2)] [F^{dis}(x, Q^2) + F^{res}(x, Q^2) + F^{bg}(x, Q^2)], \quad (6)$$

where the contribution from deep inelastic region was parameterized as

$$F^{dis}(x, Q^2) = \left[\frac{5}{18} \frac{3}{B(\eta_1, \eta_2 + 1)} x_w^{\eta_1} (1 - x_w)^{\eta_2} + \frac{1}{3} \eta_3 (1 - x_w)^{\eta_4} \right] S(x, Q^2), \quad (7)$$

$$x_w = \frac{Q^2 + m_a^2}{2m_2\nu + m_b^2}, \quad S(x, Q^2) = 1 - e^{-a(W - W_{thr})},$$

the contribution from the resonance region

$$F^{res}(x, Q^2) = \alpha_5^2 G^{3/2} e^{-(W - m_\Delta)^2/\Gamma^2}, \quad (8)$$

and the background under the resonance region was parameterized as follows:

$$F^{bg}(x, Q^2) = \alpha_6^2 G^{1/2} \xi e^{-b(W - W_{thr})^2}. \quad (9)$$

The values of all parameters in (7)-(9) were taken from [7]. The magnetic polarizability of deuteron β_d determines the contribution of the second term in relation (3). We considered, that $\beta_d \approx \beta_p + \beta_n$. The proton magnetic polarizability [15]

$$\beta_p = 2.1 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.5 \cdot 10^{-4} fm^3. \quad (10)$$

There are contradictory data on the neutron magnetic polarizability at present [9, 10, 11], so we proposed that $\beta_p \approx \beta_n$. Taking into account the parameterization of the proton and the deuteron structure functions we performed numerical integration in (3). As a result, the proton polarizability contribution to 1S-2S interval

$$\Delta E_H(1S - 2S) = -68 \pm 9 Hz, \quad (11)$$

and in the case of deuterium the analogous quantity

$$\Delta E_D(1S - 2S) = -131 \pm 8 Hz. \quad (12)$$

The theoretical uncertainties in eq. (11) and (12) are determined by the experimental errors when measuring the structure function F_2 and the proton magnetic polarizability (10). The corresponding polarizability contribution to the isotope shift between hydrogen and deuterium 1S-2S transition can be presented as

$$\Delta E_{H-D}(1S - 2S) = 63 \pm 12 Hz. \quad (13)$$

The errors of (11) and (12) were added in quadratures.

As was pointed out above, the expression for the electron-nucleus interaction operator taking into account the polarizability effects was obtained [5, 6] in the co-ordinate representation in terms of the electric polarizability $\bar{\alpha}(0)$: $V = -5\alpha m_e \bar{\alpha}(0) \cdot \ln(\bar{E}/m_e) \delta(\vec{r})$. The corresponding numerical value of the contribution to the H-D isotope shift due to the internal polarizabilities of the nucleons equals $53 \pm 9 \pm 11$ Hz [6]. So, our numerical value (13) is in good agreement with the results of [5, 6].

The obtained result (13) is comparable with the experimental accuracy 150 Hz from [2]. Our method for the study of nucleus polarizability contribution, based on eq. (3), provides a way to increase the accuracy of the calculation considering more exactly the experimental data on the structure functions $F_2(x, Q^2)$ and $R(x, Q^2)$. The charge radius of the proton r_p (or deuteron r_d) is one of the universal fundamental physical constants, because it is important in many different physical tasks [16]. More detailed experimental study of the energy levels in the hydrogenic atoms can improve our knowledge of r_p and r_d . The measurement of 2P-2S Lamb shift in muonic hydrogen with an error 8 μeV [17] will allow to determine the proton charge radius with relative accuracy about 0.1%. Similar accuracy for the deuteron charge radius can be reached thereafter from the measurement of the H-D isotope shift with the precision 50 Hz after improving the precision of the electron-proton mass ratio measurement [1].

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to I.B.Khriplovich, R.A.Sen'kov for useful discussions. The work was performed under the financial support of the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research (grant 00-02-17771), the Program "Universities of Russia" (grant 990192) and the Ministry of Education (grant E00-3.3-45).

References

- [1] M.I. Eides, H. Grotch and V.A. Shelyuto, Phys. Rep. **342**, 62 (2001).
- [2] A. Huber, Th. Udem, B. Gross et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 468 (1998).
- [3] R.N. Faustov, A.P. Martynenko, Phys. Atom. Nuclei **63**, 915 (2000).
- [4] R.N. Faustov, A. Karimkhodzhaev, A.P. Martynenko, Phys. Rev. **A59**, 2498 (1999).
- [5] J.L. Friar, G.L. Payne, Phys. Rev. **C55**, 2764 (1997).
- [6] I.B. Khriplovich, R.A. Sen'kov, Phys. Lett. **A249**, 474 (1998).
- [7] P. Amaudruz, M. Arneodo, A. Arvidson, et al., Nucl. Phys. **B273**, 3 (1992).
- [8] M. Arneodo, A. Arvidson, B. Badelek, et al., Nucl. Phys. **B487**, 3 (1997).
- [9] D.L. Hornidge, B.J. Warkentin, R. Igarashi, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2334 (2000).
- [10] N.R. Kolb, A.W. Rauf, R. Igarashi, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1388 (2000).
- [11] M.I. Levchuk, A.I. L'vov, E-preprint nucl-th /**0010059**, (2000).
- [12] K. Pachucki, Phys. Rev. **A60**, 3593 (1999).
- [13] K. Abe, et al., Preprint SLAC-PUB-**7927**, (1998).
- [14] K. Ackerstaff, et al., Preprint DESY **99-199**, (2000).
- [15] Review of Particle Physics, Eur. Phys. Jour. **C15**, 1 (2000).
- [16] S.G. Karshenboim, in Proc. Int. Workshop "Hadronic atoms and positronium in the standard model", ed. M.A. Ivanov, et al., (Dubna, 1998), 224.
- [17] F. Kottmann, in Proc. QED 2000 2nd Workshop on Frontier Tests of Quantum Electrodynamics and Physics of the Vacuum, ed. D. Amati, et al., (Trieste, 2000).